## UNCLASSIFIED



Reproduced by the

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY ARLINGTON HALL STATION ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA





NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY. Report HTC-62-39

1

88

THE HIPEG SYSTEM (20mm Aircraft Gun Mk 11 and Gun Pod EX 1) Progress Report for May 1962

4

HUGHES TOOL COMPANY · AIRCRAFT DIVISION Culver City. California

#### Report HTC-62-39

T

1

#### THE HIPEG SYSTEM

(20mm Aircraft Gun Mk 11 and Gun Pod EX 1)

Progress Report for May 1962

#### Prepared under Navy, Bureau of Naval Weapons Contract NOrd 19180-C

Information and opinions expressed in this report are those of the Contractor and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Bureau of Naval Weapons.

HUGHES TOOL COMPANY -- AIRCRAFT DIVISION Culver City, California

#### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Rammer Instrumentation	4
2.	Instrumentation used in Reliability Test of Gun Pod	5
3.	Oscillogram Showing Sear-Link Bounce	6
4.	Ejection Gas Tube Redesign	10
5.	Liquid Spring Buffer for Loader Rammer	12
6.	Rammer Test Fixture	13
7.	Oscillogram of Ram-Time-Displacement on Test Fixture	14

Report HTC-62-39

....

. .

4

1

Į

a see a

ii

#### INTRODUCTION

Approximately 16,000 rounds were fired during May from the Mk 4 pod and its Mk 11 gun in the Reliability Test Program. The total rounds fired to date on the current program include 50,000 of Reliability Test and 22,000 of R & D, for a total of 72,000.

The number of rounds on specific pieces is becoming significant. Over 35,000 rounds have been fired on one gun, and the condition of the basic components shows no indication of approaching life termination. The low voltage switch on this same gun has been and is continuing to function satisfactorily (previous design had a part life of 3000 rounds). As stated in the last report, one loader has been used for over 25,000 rounds. One pod has been used in tests for over 70,000 rounds of firing if test work prior to the present reliability program is included.

Stoppages did occur during the month, and corrective action is underway as described later. R & D work was continued with tests of a liquid spring buffer, and a rammer latch to prevent sear link bounce. New barrels without barrel caps were also introduced into the program.

Some APT ammunition was fired during the report period, and it was found some Range bunker modifications were necessary to accommodate large scale firing of this type of ammunition. A steel roof was required over the sand butt to protect the ceiling from APT ricochets. The steel roof installation was made during May, and while the Range was inoperative the pods were inspected in detail and some brackets and rivets were replaced. It was also noted that the profile of the APT ammunition was different from the target practice ammunition previously used, and some loader modifications were necessary for clearance.

#### RELIABILITY TEST PROGRAM

During May, 17 pod loads were fired with the red pod and nine with the green, for a total of 16,000 rounds. Of the 17 red pod loads, seven fired out 100%.

There were a total of 29 stoppages from all causes. Seven of these were the result of non-gun system failures and eight were caused by minor parts breakages or by the failure of experimental parts. The remaining stoppages were primarily the result of ram failures and these are being attacked as indicated in following paragraphs.

The stoppage rate for the month, excluding personnel and manufacturing errors, was 730 rounds per stoppage. The over-all rate was 550 rounds per stoppage.

Six of the last 10 pod loads in the red pod fired out 100%. The stoppage rate during these 10 pod loads was 1250 rounds per stoppage, with six stoppages, two of which were the result of manufacturing errors.

As stated above, primary emphasis has been placed on the ram problem and establishing a means to its solution. As a first step the rams were instrumented so that time displacement traces could be recorded together with ram pressure and the other instrumentation normally taken (recoil and firing voltages). The same type of potentiometer used in recoil time displacement recordings was mounted on the loader as shown in Figure 1. The pot-sweep was attached to the ram and the traces of Figure 2 were recorded. Initial instrumentation life was short because of the 4000 G's applied to it. As a result the instrumentation was not always recording properly by the time failures were encountered. The sweep-pod configuration is being improved for the application.

One recording was made (Figure 3) that shows that the sear link bounced out of engagement. The sear did have a mouse-trap spring which shows that the spring was inadequate and was not preventing sear link bounce. A sear latch is being tested on the R & D gun that will prevent sear bounce by latch action. The latch operates in the following manner: As the sear link cams over the sear, the sear link rotates the latch out of the way. When the sear link moves into the sear position, the latch rotates and positions itself under the link. An over-center lock is established by this latch and the sear link cannot bounce out of engagement.

The latch principle is the same as that used to eliminate pawl bounce in the 30mm Mk l feeder. High-speed movies have been taken of the rammer latch during gun firing and performance is as designed. There is no latch bounce and good locking action is provided.

Present plans call for continued testing to verify these initial results



Report HTC-62-39

0 - ---- 0

I

0 < 2 < < > 3 < > > GUN RECOIL DISPLACEMENT RAM PRESSURE-C - FIRST FIRE FIRST FIRE FIRING VOLTAGE < LAST FIRE FIRE AST AAA

Report HTC-62-39

I

T

.

-

.

-

Ĩ

1

Figure 2. Instrumentation Used in Reliability Test of Gun Pod



Figure 3. Oscillogram Showing Sear-Link Bounce

Report HTC-62-39

۰

I

4

-

-

Ĩ

4

-

1

.

4

-

-

1

I

and to establish if causes other than sear link bounce may be contributing. In the interim, latch hardware will be made for the Reliability Test guns.

#### DESCRIPTION OF STOPPAGES

A summary of the stoppages is as follows:

Non-Gun System Stoppages

Manufacturing errors	- 6
Personnel errors	]

Gun System Stoppages

Loader	16
Link	0
Electrical	0
Gun Mechanism	6
Pod	0
	22

A more detailed summary of stoppage causes is included in Appendix A. A summary of results for each of the two pods under Reliability Test is in Appendices B and C.

#### Manufacturing Errors

A total of six stoppages was recorded during May that were caused by Manufacturing errors. Of the six, five were caused by rivets in the ammunition link. As reported last month, more rigorous inspection was initiated to circumvent the problem. It is anticipated that the problem will disappear when the later link lots reach the Firing Range that have received this inspection.

Report HTC-62-39

The other Manufacturing error that resulted in a stoppage was caused by a faulty lug on an ammunition link. The flange was machined too thin, and the belt pulled apart. The problem is being watched, and if it continues to occur it may mean that 100% pull tests will be required during link manufacture.

#### Personnel Errors

Only one stoppage occurred during the month that was caused by a personnel error. The stoppage was the result of not tightening a gas tube nut after standard maintenance. Personnel errors have become fewer. As maintenance becomes less, and more routine, it is anticipated that the occurrence of personnel errors will become less.

#### Loader

Of the 16 loader stoppages recorded, 12 had to do with ramming. Ten of these were failures to resear and two were failures to ram deep enough. As stated earlier, the resear problem is being attacked with more instrumentation. Part of the problem has now been definitely proved as being caused by sear link bounce. Some of the failures to resear may also be caused by rammer contamination, which in '1rn would result in energy loss. It appears that the sear link bounce can be corrected by the rammer latch now under test.

A correction of the contamination problem is being investigated by studies of different surface applications to the ram and rammer piston. In those cases where the rounds were not rammed deep enough, there was low ram pressure. It is planned to study the variables that affect ram pressure.

Report HTC-62-39

Of the remaining four stoppages, two were caused by minor parts breakage and two were ejection stoppages. The two parts that failed were (1) the aluminum rivets attaching the ammunition guide bracket and (2) an oscillating guide pin that failed at the cotter pin hole. The aluminum rivets were replaced by steel and the cotter pin hole will be removed in future parts and the pin locked in place by a clip rather than with a cotter pin.

#### Gun Mechanism

All the failures in the gun mechanism were due to broken parts. Of the six recorded, three were caused by barrel cap failures. New barrels are being supplied without barrel caps, which will eliminate this type of failure. One barrel cap failed explosively and caused pod and gun damage. A micro-analysis of the part showed that the rapid heating and cooling of the barrel caused heat treat type stresses which resulted in cracks. This type of cracking is not predictable and failure can result any time. Barrel caps of Hayes 25 material were tried. There was no problem with heat treat type stresses with this material, but erosion was high. The rear face of the cap eroded and caused two stoppages.

Two stoppages were the result of broken elbows at the booster block. A new design has been made, which will reduce the stress level by 4 times.

One stoppage was the result of a broken gas ejection tube. The flange at the upstream end of the tube parted from the basic tube. The part was redesigned and the new and old parts are compared in Figure 4. The flange was made stronger and the welded joint moved downstream.

#### Pod

There were no stoppages during the month attributable to the pod. Report HTC-62-39



Figure 4. Ejection Gas Tube Redesign

Report HTC-62-39

I

4

er = 1

40 1

Ī

.

[]

.....

-

4 A

-

I

c

9

¢

#### LIQUID SPRING TESTS

The liquid spring buffer (Figure 5) for the loader rammer was mounted in the test fixture as shown in Figure 6. Approximately 1000 cycles were applied, and land photographs of oscilloscope traces were recorded as shown in Figure 7. The data collected indicates that the liquid spring buffer as installed has a 60% efficiency. This is 10% lower than that recorded with the pneumatic buffer. The additional loss is partially due to the heavier piston in the liquid spring. Assuming that satisfactory function can be achieved with this spring, the advantages of it outweigh the 10% loss in efficiency.

One of the springs was placed in a low temperature chamber for 24 hours. The low temperature of  $-65^{\circ}$  did not induce a seal leak. No dynamic tests at this temperature have been performed as yet.

The next step in the liquid spring tests is to mount the springs on loaders of firing guns. One spring will be mounted on a reliability gun and the other on the R&D weapon.



Figure 5. Liquid Spring Buffer for Loader Rammer

Report HTC-62-39

Ι

I



Report HTC-62-39



Figure 7. Oscillogram of Ram Time-Displacement on Test Fixture

APPENDIX A

#### STOPPAGE SUMMARY - BOTH PODS

.

#### STOPPAGE SUMMARY

#### FOR MONTH OF MAY 1962

TYPE OF STOPPAGE	NUMBER	R OF STOPPAG	ES
	OREEN FOD (0)	KED POD (4)	TOTAL
MANUFACTURING ERRORS			
1. Short rivet in link	2	3	5
2. Faulty lug in link	0	1	1
Sub-total	2	4	6
INSTRUMENTATION	0	0	0
AMMUNITION	0	0	0
PERSONNEL ERRORS		den a V den rate da re sussentius i fer any mays a	
1. Gas tube not tightened	1	0	1
Sub-total	1	0	1
LOADER			and a second
1. Failed to resear	5	5	10
2. Failed to rain deep enough	1	1	2
3. Case went thru link	0	1	1
4 Case hit link	0	1	1
5. Broken ammo guide	1	0	1
6. Broken pin - Oscillating Guide	1	0	1
Sub-total	8	8	16
LINK	0	0	0
ELECTRICAL	0	0	0
GUN MECHANISM			
1. Eroded barrel cap (Ilaines metal)	2	0	2
2. Barrel cap explosion	1	0	1
3. Broken elbow - ram gas tube	1	1	2
4. Broken gas eject tube	0	1	1
	+		
Sub-total	4	2	6
POD	0	0	0
TOTAL	15	14	29
Rounds fired during May	4,614	11,421	16,035
Rounds fired prior to May	15,360	18,576	33,936
Total rounds fired to June 1	19,974	29, 997	49,971

APPENDIX B

STOPPAGE SUMMARY - POD #4

### STOPPAGE SUMMARY

			FOR POD NO. 4	
Pod- full No.	Stop- page No.	Rounds Since Stoppage	Stoppage	Gun & Ldr. No.
28	42	593	Mfg. Error. Short rivet in link.	
	43	299	Mfg. Error. Short rivet in link.	
29	44	697	Loader. Failed to resear.	
30	45	700	Loader. Failed to resear.	
31	46	739	Loader. Failed to resear.	
(One	-Pie	e Barrels	Installed)	
32			<u>100% Fire-out.</u>	
33	47	1151	Loader. Failed to resear.	
	48	513	Loader. Failed to ram deep enough - low pressure.	
	49	21	Gun Mech. Broken gas eject tube.	
34			<u>100% fire-out.</u>	
(Ra)	n Pos	ition India	ators Installed)	
35			100% fire-out.	
36	50	2172	Mfg. Error. Faulty lug on link.	
37			<u>100% fire-out</u> .	
38	51	941	Loader. Failed to resear. APT ammo bound on J Guide.	

#### STOPPAGE SUMMARY

_			FOR POD NO. 4	
Pod- full No.	Stop- page No.	Rounds Since Stoppage	Stoppage	Gun & Ldr. No.
(Ant	i-De	lutch Bra	cket Installed)	
38	52	429	Gun Mech. Broken elbow - ram gas tube.	
39	53	161	Loader. Case went thru link.	
	54	237	Mfg. Error. Short rivet in link.	
40			<u>100% fire-out.</u>	
(Tei	lon a	nmunition		1
41	55	1030	Loader. Case did not can up in link.	
42			<u>100% fire-out</u> .	
43			<u>100% fire-out</u> .	
			Total rounds fired: 29,997 Rounds prior to May: <u>18,576</u>	
			Rounds fired during May: 11,421	
	1			1

#### POD NO. 4

APPENDIX C

STOPPAGE SUMMARY - POD #6

#### STOPPAGE SUMMARY

#### FOR POD NO. 6 Pod- Stop-Rounds Gun full page Since Stoppage & Ldr. No. No. Stoppage No. 23 37 29 Gun Mech. Eroded barrel cap. Haines metal 31 38 Gun Mech. Same as #37. 24 39 137 Mfg. Error. Short rivet in link. 40 63 Gun Mech. Barrel cap exploded. 41 261 Gun Mech. Broken elbow - ram gas tube. 25 42 527 Loader. Failed to ram deep enough - low pressure. (One-Piece Barrels Installed) 26 Mfg. Error. Short rivet in link. 43 430 27 44 819 Loader. Failed to resear. 45 83 Loader. Broken ammo. guide. 46 213 Loader. Failed to resear. 28 47 105 Personnel Error. Gas tube not tightened. 29 48 205 Loader. Failed to resear. (Ram Position Indicators Installed) 475 49 Loader. Failed to resear. 30 50 253 Loader. Broken pin in oscillating guide.

#### STOPPAGE SUMMARY

			FOR POD NO. 6	
Pod- full No.	Stop- page No.	Rounds Since Stoppage	Stoppage	Gun & Ldr. No.
(Te	flon	Ammuniti	<u>on</u> )	
30	51	293	Loader. Failed to resear.	
				-
				-
			Total rounds fired: 19,974	
			Rounds fired prior to May: 15, 360	
			Rounds fired during May 4,614	
				-
				-
				-
				-
				_

G -2

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST

Addressee	No. of Copies
Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attention: Code RMMO-45 Code RAAV-54	1 1
Commander U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgren, Virginia Attention: Code WWE	1
Bureau of Naval Weapons Representative, Inglewood North American Aviation, Inc. Los Angeles 9, California	1
Office, Chief of Ordnance Department of the Army Washington, D. C. Attention: ORDTS	1
Commanding Officer Springfield Armory Springfield 1, Massachusetts Attention: Res. & Dev. Project Control	1
Headquarters, U. S. Air Force Washington 25, D. C. Attention: AFDRD-CC/1	1
Commander (ACRG) Air Force Armament Center Eglin Air Force Base, Florida	1
Armour Research Foundation 35 West 33rd Street Technology Center Chicago, Illinois Attention: Mr. W. Babco	1

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST (continued)

Addressee	No. of Copies
Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Air Arm Division Friendship International Airport Baltimore, Maryland	
Via: BuWeps Representative, Glenn L. Martin Comp Baltimore 3, Maryland	any l
Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation Bethpage, Long Island, New York	
Attention: Mr. Thomas Fessenden	1
Sikorsky Aircraft Division United Aircraft Corporation	
Stratford, Connecticut Attention: Mr. R. J. Tynan	1
Chance Vought Aircraft, Inc. Box 5907 Dallas Texas	
Attention: Mr. H. R. Jordan	1
Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. El Segundo Division 827 Lapha n Street	
El Segundo, California	
Attention: Mr. R. H. Cocks	
ASW Armament Section, B-250	1
Equipment Board	
Marine Corps Schools Quantico, Virginia	1
Headquarters Marine Corps, Code AAP	
Div. of Aviation, Aircraft Programming Section Washington 25, D. C.	1
Commander, Naval Air Test Center	
Attention: Mr. A. Adams	
Weapons System Test	1

#### DISTRIBUTION LIST (continued)

Addressee	No. of Copies
Department of the Army	I
Washington 25, D. C.	
Attention: Chief of Transportation	
Rm 1846 - Pentagon	
Dr. R. S. Titchen, OP-03EG	1
Office of the Chief of Naval Operations	
Room 4A714, Pentagon	
Washington 25, D. C.	
Commanding Officer	1
Attn: Purchasing Officer, ORDBB-PC	
Picatinny Arsenal	
Dover, New Jersey	
ASTIA	1.0
Arlington Hall Station	
Arlington 12, Virginia	
U. S. Navy Liaison Officer	1
Headquarters, Air Force Systems Command	
U.S. Air Force	
Andrews Air Force Base	
Washington 25, D. C.	
Attention - Cant. R. Kolakowski	